

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO |). F | TLING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------------|---------------------------------------|------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/053,280 | 11/08/2001 | | Randall M. Carpenter | 255/123 | 1253 |
| 22249 | 7590 | 03/23/2004 | | EXAMINER | |
| | LYON & LYON LLP 633 WEST FIFTH STREET | | | CHELLE A | |
| SUITE 47 | - - | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| LOS ANGELES, CA 90071 | | | 1734 | | |

DATE MAILED: 03/23/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1

| | | | AI |
|--|--|---|----|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | 4 |
| Office Action Comme | 10/053,280 | CARPENTER, RANDALL M. | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | - |
| | Michelle A Lazor | 1734 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this commun | ication appears on the cover sheet | with the correspondence address | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comm - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3 - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum st - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply Any reply received by the Office later than three months a earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may nunication. 80) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of t latutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) Mr will, by statute, cause the application to become | a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication, ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | |
| Status | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) file | ed on No V 8, 2001 | | |
| | 2b)⊠ This action is non-final. | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition | • • | atters, prosecution as to the merits is | |
| closed in accordance with the practi | ce under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C | D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-52 is/are pending in the a | application. | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a | ·· | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | |
| 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) <u>1-52</u> are subject to restriction | on and/or election requirement. | | |
| Application Papers | | | |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the | e Examiner. | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: | a) accepted or b) dobjected t | by the Examiner. | |
| Applicant may not request that any object | ction to the drawing(s) be held in abey | ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including | the correction is required if the drawir | g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | |
| 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to | by the Examiner. Note the attach | ed Office Action or form PTO-152. | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim | for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. | § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority | documents have been received. | | |
| <u> </u> | documents have been received in | | |
| | of the priority documents have bee | n received in this National Stage | |
| | nal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action | n for a list of the certified copies no | t received. | |
| Attachmont(a) | | | |
| Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) Interview | Summary (PTO-413) | |
| Notice of References Cited (170-032) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P | TO-948) Paper No | (s)/Mail Date | |
| Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or Paper No(s)/Mail Date | PTO/SB/08) 5) | Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) | |
| S. Patent and Trademark Office | Office Action Summary | Part of Paper No./Mail Date 20040308 | |
| (, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , | y | . 2 2 2po. 110.//mail Date 20070000 | |

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-37, drawn to a method, classified in class 264, subclass 40.
 - II. Claims 38 46, drawn to an artificial rock, classified in class 106, subclass 638+.
- III. Claims 47 52, drawn to a support stand, classified in class 249, subclass 140. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:
- 2. Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product, such as a square or triangular shaped rock.
- 3. Inventions I and III are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process, such as forming a wall or a sculpture.
- 4. Inventions III and II are related as apparatus and product made. The inventions in this relationship are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the apparatus as

Application/Control Number: 10/053,280

Art Unit: 1734

claimed is not an obvious apparatus for making the product and the apparatus can be used for making a different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different apparatus (MPEP § 806.05(g)). In this case the apparatus as claimed is not an obvious apparatus for making the product and the apparatus can be used for making a different product, such as forming a wall or a sculpture.

- 5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 6. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Group A – a method not using a release agent to manufacture an artificial rock.

Group B – a method using a release agent to manufacture an artificial rock.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the

Application/Control Number: 10/053,280

Art Unit: 1734

limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

7. A telephone call was made to John McConaghy on 3/3/04 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michelle A Lazor whose telephone number is 571-272-1232. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Wed 6:30 - 4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Crispino can be reached on 571-272-1226. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/053,280

Art Unit: 1734

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MAL 3/8/04

MICHAEL COLAIANNI PRIMARY EXAMINER